

A P-LOCAL DELOOPING MACHINE

MATTHEW SARTWELL

ABSTRACT. We show that for spaces A that satisfy a certain smallness condition, there is a Lawvere theory T_A so that a space X has the structure of a T_A -algebra if and only if X is weakly equivalent to a mapping space out of A . In particular, spheres localized at a set of primes satisfy this condition.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is a classical result that the existence of certain algebraic structures on a space can determine whether or not it is an n -fold loop space (where here n is possibly ∞). For instance, Beck [5] showed that a space is an n -fold loop space if and only if it is an algebra over the monad $\Omega^n \Sigma^n$. In [19] May found a much simpler description using monads which come from operads. Such monads have the useful property that they are *finitary*: completely determined by their restriction to finite sets. Finitary monads and their algebras correspond to (Lawvere) theories and their algebras [7, II.4].

Definition 1.1. A **theory** T is a based simplicial category with objects $t_0, t_1, t_2, \dots$ such that t_i is the i -fold product of t_1 . In particular, t_0 is the basepoint. An **algebra** over T is a based, product preserving functor X from T into based simplicial sets. The **underlying space** of X is $X(t_1)$.

So an algebra over a theory consists of a space $X(t_1)$ along with operations $X(t_1)^n \rightarrow X(t_1)$ that satisfy certain relations which are parameterized by the theory T . The above discussion is saying that loop spaces are detected by theories, in the following sense.

Definition 1.2. A space A is **detectable** if there is a theory T with the property that a space X is weakly equivalent to the underlying space of a T -algebra if and only if X is weakly equivalent to $\mathbf{Map}_*(A, Y)$ for some Y .

One of the upshots of a space being detectable is that algebras over a theory are closed under various operations. For example, let F be a functor from spaces to spaces which preserves weak equivalences and preserves products up to weak equivalences. Then [2, Cor 1.4] says that if X is weakly equivalent to an algebra over a theory T , so is $F(X)$. So if A is detectable, applying such a functor F to a mapping space of the form $\mathbf{Map}_*(A, Y)$ gives a space which is weakly equivalent to $\mathbf{Map}_*(A, Z)$ for some space Z .

In particular, if A is detectable, then the localization $L_f \mathbf{Map}_*(A, Y)$ with respect to any map f is weakly equivalent to $\mathbf{Map}_*(A, Z)$ for some space Z . In [4], it is shown that if A is a finite, pointed CW-complex with the property that its mapping spaces are closed under localization in this way, then A has the rational homotopy type of a wedge of spheres which are all the same dimension.

Based on this result, it is not clear if *any* spaces are detectable other than wedges of n -spheres. On the contrary, we show that any space which satisfies a certain smallness condition is detectable. In particular, this includes spheres localized at a set of primes. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.3. *Let S_P^n be the P -local sphere for P a set of primes, and $n \geq 2$. There is a theory $T_{S_P^n}$ with the property that a space X is weakly equivalent to $\mathbf{Map}_*(S_P^n, Y)$ for some Y if and only if X is weakly equivalent to the underlying space of an $T_{S_P^n}$ -algebra.*

As in [3], we will actually prove the stronger statement that there is a Quillen equivalence between the category of algebras over the theory $T_{S_P^n}$ and the right Bousfield localization of spaces with respect to S_P^n .

Notation

- We work in the category of pointed simplicial sets, which we denote by $\mathbf{sSet}_*$.
- For a set of primes P and $n \geq 2$, the P -local sphere S_P^n is the singularization of the mapping telescope:

$$\mathbf{Tel}(S^n \xrightarrow{l_1} S^n \xrightarrow{l_2} S^n \rightarrow \dots)$$

where $\{l_i\}$ are the maps whose degree are the positive integers relatively prime to the primes in P .

- We freely use the language of model categories. For an introduction see [11].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank my advisor, Bernard Badzioch, for sparking my interest in homotopy theory and algebraic structures, and for his patience and comments through several versions of this paper. I also would like to thank Bruce Corrigan-Salter and Alyson Bittner for many illuminating conversations.

2. THE CANONICAL THEORY OF A SPACE AND THE HOMOTOPY THEORY OF ALGEBRAS

The category of pointed simplicial sets is equipped with a pointed mapping space given by:

$$\mathbf{Map}_*(A, X) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{sSet}_*}(\Delta_+^\bullet \wedge A, X)$$

where the simplicial structure is encoded by the cosimplicial structure of $\Delta_+^\bullet$, which is the pointed cosimplicial space sending each $[n] \in \Delta$ to the standard n -simplex with a disjoint basepoint. For any pointed simplicial set A , we define a theory T_A by:

$$\mathbf{Hom}_{T_A}(t_n, t_m) = \mathbf{Map}_*(\bigvee_m A, \mathrm{Sing}|\bigvee_n A|)$$

That this actually is a theory is probably easiest seen by the fact that the adjunction between pointed simplicial sets and topological spaces induces isomorphisms of simplicial mapping spaces:

$$\mathbf{Map}_*(\bigvee_m A, \mathrm{Sing}|\bigvee_n A|) \cong \mathbf{Map}_*(\bigvee_m |A|, \bigvee_n |A|)$$

Thus we may view our theory as the opposite of the full subcategory of the (pointed simplicial) category of pointed topological spaces consisting of wedges of copies of $|A|$. Since $\bigvee_n |A|$ is the n -fold coproduct of $|A|$, it becomes the n -fold product in the opposite category.

Definition 2.1. Let A be a space. The theory T_A just described is called the **Canonical Theory Associated to A** .

In [3], it was shown that for $A = S^n$, the canonical theory detects spheres in the sense of definition 1.1. Indeed, for any space A , the mapping space $\mathbf{Map}_*(A, Y)$ is the underlying space of the T_A algebra:

$$\Omega^A(Y) : T_A \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}_* \quad t_i \mapsto \mathbf{Map}_*(\bigvee_i A, Y)$$

The content of [3] was that, in the case of a sphere, every algebra over the canonical theory T_{S^n} has underlying space weakly equivalent to an n -fold loop space.

We recall the homotopy theory of algebras over a theory T . Let $\mathbf{Alg}^T$ denote the category of algebras over a theory T , where the morphisms are natural transformations. Then taking the underlying space gives a functor $U(X) = X(t_1)$ from the category of algebras over T to pointed simplicial sets. It has a left adjoint F , the free algebra functor, and this adjunction is used to lift the Quillen model category of pointed simplicial sets to the category of algebras.

Theorem 2.2. [21] *There is a model category structure on $\mathbf{Alg}^{T_A}$, where a map $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ between algebras is a:*

- (1) *Weak equivalence if $U(\phi)$ is a weak equivalence in $\mathbf{sSet}_*$*
- (2) *Fibration if $U(\phi)$ is a fibration in $\mathbf{sSet}_*$*
- (3) *Cofibration if ϕ has the left lifting property with respect to the acyclic fibrations.*

Moreover, with this model structure, the free/forgetful adjunction $F \dashv U$ is a Quillen adjunction.

The fibrant algebras are the algebras whose underlying spaces are fibrant simplicial sets. In the remainder of this section, we define a useful cofibrant replacement of an algebra.

Let X be an algebra over a theory T . Define the simplicial T -algebra $FU_\bullet X$ to be $(FU)^{n+1}(X)$ in simplicial degree n . The face and degeneracy maps are defined using the unit $\eta : 1 \rightarrow UF$ and counit $\epsilon : FU \rightarrow 1$ of the adjunction. Specifically, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_i &:= (FU)^k X \xrightarrow{(FU)^i \epsilon (FU)^{k-i}} (FU)^{k-1} X \\ s_i &:= (FU)^k X \xrightarrow{(FU)^i F \eta U (FU)^{k-i}} (FU)^{k+1} X \end{aligned}$$

The counit $\epsilon : FU X \rightarrow X$ induces a map $\epsilon_* : |FU_\bullet X| \rightarrow X$ of T algebras.

Definition 2.3. The map $\epsilon_* : |FU_\bullet X| \rightarrow X$ is called **Bar Resolution of X** .

Theorem 2.4. *The bar resolution $\epsilon_* : |FU_\bullet X| \rightarrow X$ is a cofibrant replacement in the category of algebras.*

Proof. The fact that ϵ_* is a weak equivalence follows from a standard extra degeneracy argument, as in [19, Proposition 9.8]. To complete the proof, we need to show that $FU_\bullet X$ is cofibrant. We will do this by showing that $FU_\bullet X$ is a Reedy cofibrant algebra in the category of simplicial algebras. Then geometric realization,

being a left Quillen adjoint, sends Reedy cofibrant simplicial algebras to cofibrant algebras.

Let Δ_0^{op} be the full subcategory of Δ^{op} consisting of the degeneracy maps and only positive face maps. Like Δ^{op} , this is a Reedy category. Consider the functor $UF_\bullet X$ from Δ_0^{op} to $\mathbf{sSet}_\bullet$ which is $(UF)^n UX$ in simplicial degree n and whose face maps and degeneracy maps are similar to $FU_\bullet X$. All of the latching maps of $UF_\bullet X$ are cofibrations, and applying F we see that the restriction of $FU_\bullet X$ to Δ_+^{op} is Reedy cofibrant. By [16, Proposition 3.17], the simplicial algebra $FU_\bullet X$ is Reedy cofibrant as well. $\square$

3. CELLULAR SPACES AND HOMOTOPY PROJECTIVITY

The mapping space algebra Ω^A in the previous section defines a functor from $\mathbf{sSet}_*$ to the category of algebras $\mathbf{Alg}^{T_A}$ whose morphisms are natural transformations. This functor has a left adjoint, which we call B^A , and this adjunction passes to the level of homotopy categories.

Theorem 3.1. *Let A be a space, and let T_A be the canonical theory from definition 2.1. Then the adjunction*

$$\mathbf{sSet}_* : \xrightleftharpoons[\Omega^A]{B^A} \mathbf{Alg}^{T_A}$$

is Quillen.

Proof. It suffices to show that the right adjoint preserves fibrations between fibrant objects and acyclic fibrations. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a fibration between fibrant objects, or an acyclic fibration, then so is the induced map

$$\mathbf{Map}_*(A, X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Map}_*(A, Y)$$

In other words $U(f)$ is a fibration or acyclic fibration, and by definition of the model structure on algebras, so is $\Omega(f)$. $\square$

We will see that for spaces A which satisfy a smallness condition (see definition 3.5) we can define a model category structure on $\mathbf{sSet}_*$ so that this adjunction becomes a Quillen equivalence. When this is possible, the right adjoint Ω^A has to reflect weak equivalences between fibrant objects. The following model category structure, called the right Bousfield localization of $\mathbf{sSet}_*$ with respect to A , can be thought of as the most efficient model category which makes this happen. We will denote it $R^A \mathbf{sSet}_*$.

Theorem 3.2. [14, Theorem 5.1.1] *Let A be a pointed simplicial set. There is a model category structure on $\mathbf{sSet}_*$, where a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a:*

- (1) *Weak equivalence if the induced map $\mathbf{Map}_*(A, |Sing(X)|) \rightarrow \mathbf{Map}_*(A, |Sing(Y)|)$ is a weak equivalence.*
- (2) *Fibration if it is a Kan fibration.*
- (3) *Cofibration if it has the left lifting property with respect to all acyclic fibrations.*

Proof. This follows from a general theorem on existence of right Bousfield localizations [14, Theorem 5.1.1], since the model category of pointed simplicial sets is proper and cellular [14, Proposition 5.1.8] $\square$

By [14, 5.1.5], the cofibrant spaces in $R^A \mathbf{sSet}_*$ are the A -cellular spaces, which we define now.

Definition 3.3. A nonempty class of simplicial sets is said to be **closed** if it is closed under homotopy colimits and weak equivalences. The smallest closed class which contains a simplicial set A is called the class of A -**cellular spaces**, denoted $\mathbf{Cell} A$.

The A -cellular spaces are roughly the spaces built from A . For example, the S^n -cellular spaces are the $(n-1)$ -connected simplicial sets. For us, the most important example is the following.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $n \geq 2$, and P be a set of primes. Then $\mathbf{Cell}(S_P^n)$ is the class of $(n-1)$ -connected P -local cell-complexes.*

Proof. By definition, $\mathbf{Cell}(S_P^n)$ is the smallest class of simplicial sets containing S_P^n which is closed under weak equivalences and homotopy colimits. The constructions in [24] show that it is possible to build any P -local space by homotopy colimits. It is shown in [14, 5.3.7] that it is enough to consider filtered colimits and homotopy pushouts. Since the property of being $(n-1)$ -connected and P -local is determined by reduced homology, any homotopy pushout or filtered colimit of $(n-1)$ -connected P -local spaces is still $(n-1)$ -connected and P -local. $\square$

The final ingredient in our recognition principle is that A needs to satisfy a smallness condition.

Definition 3.5. Let C be a closed class. A pointed simplicial set A is called **homotopy projective relative to C** if $\mathbf{Map}_*(A, -)$ commutes with homotopy colimits of filtered or simplicial diagrams which take their value in C . A space A is called **homotopy self-projective** if it is homotopy projective relative to $\mathbf{Cell} A$.

Proposition 3.6. *The spheres S^n are homotopy self-projective.*

Proof. $\mathbf{Map}_*(S^n, -)$ commutes with homotopy filtered colimits because S^n is a finite simplicial set. The class $\mathbf{Cell} S^n$ is exactly the class of $(n-1)$ -connected spaces, so it follows from the Bousfield-Friedlander theorem [8, Theorem B.4] that $\mathbf{Map}_*(S^n, -)$ commutes with homotopy colimits of $(n-1)$ -connected simplicial spaces. $\square$

Proposition 3.7. *For $n \geq 2$ and P a set of primes, the P -local sphere S_P^n is homotopy self-projective.*

Proof. The map:

$$\mathbf{Map}_*(S_P^n, K) \rightarrow \mathbf{Map}_*(S^n, K)$$

induced from the localization map $L_P : S^n \rightarrow S_P^n$ is a weak equivalence for P -local spaces K . Let $\tilde{K} : D \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}_*$ be either a filtered diagram or else a simplicial diagram which takes its values in $\mathbf{Cell} S_P^n$. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{hocolim}_D \mathbf{Map}_*(S_P^n, \tilde{K}) & \xrightarrow{\nu_P} & \mathbf{Map}_*(S_P^n, \mathrm{hocolim}_D \tilde{K}) \\ L_P \downarrow & & \downarrow L_P \\ \mathrm{hocolim}_D \mathbf{Map}_*(S^n, \tilde{K}) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathbf{Map}_*(S^n, \mathrm{hocolim}_D \tilde{K}) \end{array}$$

The left and right maps are weak equivalences because $\tilde{K}$ takes its value in P -local spaces. The bottom map is a weak equivalence because S^n is homotopy self-projective and $\tilde{K}$ takes its values in $(n-1)$ -connected spaces. Hence the top map is also a weak equivalence. $\square$

These are actually the only homotopy self-projective spaces we know of, and we think it is likely that wedges of n -spheres are the only finite spaces which are homotopy self-projective. On the other hand, we can say that the property of being homotopy self-projective is stable.

Proposition 3.8. *If A is connected and homotopy self-projective, so is ΣA .*

Proof. A space X is in $\mathbf{Cell}(\Sigma A)$ if and only if ΩX is in $\mathbf{Cell}(A)$ [12]. So if $\tilde{K} : D \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_*$ is some filtered or simplicial diagram which takes its values in $\mathbf{Cell}(\Sigma A)$, then the diagram $\Omega \tilde{K}$ obtained by postcomposition takes its values in $\mathbf{Cell}(A)$. Since A is homotopy self-projective

$$\mathrm{hocolim}_D \mathbf{Map}_*(\Sigma A, \tilde{K}) \cong \mathrm{hocolim}_D \mathbf{Map}_*(A, \Omega \tilde{K}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Map}_*(A, \mathrm{hocolim}_D \Omega \tilde{K})$$

is a weak equivalence. Since $\tilde{K}$ takes its values in connected spaces, and since S^1 is homotopy self-projective there is a weak equivalence

$$\mathbf{Map}_*(A, \mathrm{hocolim}_D \Omega \tilde{K}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Map}_*(A, \Omega(\mathrm{hocolim}_D \tilde{K})) \cong \mathbf{Map}_*(\Sigma A, \mathrm{hocolim}_D \tilde{K})$$

$\square$

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section we prove theorem 1.3. It will follow easily from the following

Theorem 4.1. *Let A be a homotopy self-projective space. Then the Quillen adjunction*

$$R^A \mathbf{sSet}_* \xrightleftharpoons[\Omega^A]{B^A} \mathbf{Alg}^{T_A}$$

is a Quillen equivalence.

Proof. We first need to show that this is still a Quillen adjunction after passing from $\mathbf{sSet}_*$ to $R^A \mathbf{sSet}_*$. Note that the identity functor:

$$Id : \mathbf{sSet}_* \rightarrow R^A \mathbf{sSet}_*$$

is a *right* Quillen adjoint, so that this is not automatic. However $R^A \mathbf{sSet}_*$ is a simplicial model category with the same simplicial structure as $\mathbf{sSet}_*$ [14, Theorem 5.1.2]. So Ω^A preserves fibrations between fibrant objects and acyclic fibrations.

Next, since Ω^A reflects weak equivalences between fibrant objects, it is enough to show that the unit map $\eta : X \rightarrow \Omega^A(\mathrm{Sing}[B^A X])$ is a weak equivalence for all cofibrant X . We split the proof into cases:

1. Consider first the case when $X = F(n_+)$, where $n = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ is the set based at 0. For any space K , the composition $U\Omega^A(K)$ is the mapping space $\mathbf{Map}_*(A, X)$, so that in the other direction $B^A F(K)$ is $A \wedge K$. Thus, applying the forgetful functor to the map $X \rightarrow \Omega^A(\mathrm{Sing}[B^A X])$ is isomorphism:

$$F(n_+) \rightarrow \mathbf{Map}_*(A, \mathrm{Sing}[B^A F(n_+)]) \rightarrow \mathbf{Map}_*(A, \mathrm{Sing}[\bigvee_n A])$$

2. Next, let S be a set, and let $X = F(S)$. Then we can write X as the filtered homotopy colimit of over the finite subsets of S :

$$X = \operatorname{hocolim}_{L \subseteq S} F(L)$$

We will write the unit as a composition. First there is the map

$$\operatorname{hocolim}_{L \subseteq S} F(L) \xrightarrow{\eta_\bullet} \operatorname{hocolim}_{L \subseteq S} \Omega^A(\operatorname{Sing}|B^A F(L)|)$$

obtained by applying the unit degreewise. This map is a weak equivalence since by part 1 it is an objectwise weak equivalence. We compose this with the map

$$\operatorname{hocolim}_{L \subseteq S} \Omega^A(\operatorname{Sing}|B^A F(L)|) \xrightarrow{\iota} \Omega^A(\operatorname{hocolim}_{L \subseteq S} \operatorname{Sing}|B^A F(L)|)$$

which is a weak equivalence because A is homotopy projective. The final map in the composition is

$$\Omega^A(\operatorname{hocolim}_{L \subseteq S} \operatorname{Sing}|B^A F(L)|) \xrightarrow{i} \Omega^A(\operatorname{Sing}|B^A \operatorname{hocolim}_{L \subseteq S} F(L)|)$$

This is a weak equivalence because $\operatorname{hocolim}_{L \subseteq S} \operatorname{Sing}|B^A F(L)| \rightarrow \operatorname{Sing}|B^A \operatorname{hocolim}_{L \subseteq S} F(L)|$ is a weak equivalence, by [14, Proposition 18.9.12].

3. Next suppose that $X = \operatorname{hocolim}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} K_\bullet$, where each K_n is a free algebra $F(S)$. Then a similar argument as in step 2 shows that the unit is a weak equivalence in this case.

4. Finally, let X be a cofibrant algebra. Then the bar resolution $\epsilon_* : \operatorname{hocolim}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} FU_\bullet(X) \rightarrow X$ fits into the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{hocolim}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} FU_\bullet(X) & \xrightarrow{\eta_\bullet} & \Omega^A \operatorname{Sing}|B^A \operatorname{hocolim}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} FU_\bullet(X)| \\ \downarrow \epsilon_* & & \downarrow \Omega^A \operatorname{Sing} B^A \epsilon_* \\ X & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \Omega^A \operatorname{Sing}|B^A X| \end{array}$$

The bar resolution has the form from step 3, so that $\eta_\bullet$ is a weak equivalence. By theorem , the map ϵ_* is a weak equivalence. Finally, Ω^A preserves weak equivalences between fibrant objects, so that η is a weak equivalence as well. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We showed in section 2 that if a space X is weakly equivalent to $\mathbf{Map}_*(A, Y)$ for some Y , then X is weakly equivalent to the underlying space of the algebra $\Omega^A(Y)$. Conversely, suppose a space X is weakly equivalent to the underlying space of an algebra M , then X is also weakly equivalent to the underlying space of a cofibrant replacement M^c of M . By 4.1, there is a weak equivalence of algebras $M^c \rightarrow \Omega^A(\operatorname{Sing}|B^A M^c|)$. By the definition of weak equivalences between algebras, it follows that X is weakly equivalent to $\mathbf{Map}_*(A, \operatorname{Sing}|B^A M^c|)$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Jiří Adámek, J Adámek, J Rosický, and EM Vitale. *Algebraic theories: a categorical introduction to general algebra*. Number 184. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [2] Bernard Badzioch. Algebraic theories in homotopy theory. *Annals of mathematics*, pages 895–913, 2002.
- [3] Bernard Badzioch, Kuerak Chung, and Alexander A Voronov. The canonical delooping machine. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 208(2):531–540, 2007.

- [4] Bernard Badzioch and Wojciech Dorabiała. A note on localizations of mapping spaces. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 177(1):441–444, 2010.
- [5] Jon Beck. On h-spaces and infinite loop spaces. In *Category Theory, Homology Theory and Their Applications III*, pages 139–153. Springer, 1969.
- [6] Julie Bergner. Rigidification of algebras over multi-sorted algebraic theories.
- [7] J. M. Boardman and R. M. Vogt. *Homotopy invariant algebraic structures on topological spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 347. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [8] Aldridge K Bousfield and Eric M Friedlander. Homotopy theory of γ -spaces, spectra, and bisimplicial sets. In *Geometric applications of homotopy theory II*, pages 80–130. Springer, 1978.
- [9] Wojciech Chachólski. Closed classes. In *Algebraic Topology: New Trends in Localization and Periodicity*, pages 95–118. Springer, 1996.
- [10] Bruce R Corrigan-Salter. Rigidification of homotopy algebras over finite product sketches. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 219(6):1962–1991, 2015.
- [11] William G Dwyer and Jan Spalinski. Homotopy theories and model categories. *Handbook of algebraic topology*, 73126, 1995.
- [12] Emmanuel Farjoun. *Cellular spaces, null spaces and homotopy localization*. Number 1621-1622. Springer, 1996.
- [13] Paul G Goerss and John F Jardine. *Simplicial homotopy theory*, volume 174. Springer, 2009.
- [14] Phillip S Hirschhorn. Localization of model categories. *Draft: February*, 22, 2000.
- [15] Mark Hovey. *Model categories*. Number 63. American Mathematical Soc., 2007.
- [16] Niles Johnson and Justin Noel. Lifting homotopy t-algebra maps to strict maps. *Advances in Mathematics*, 264:593–645, 2014.
- [17] F William Lawvere. Functorial semantics of algebraic theories. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 50(5):869, 1963.
- [18] J Peter May and Kate Ponto. *More concise algebraic topology: localization, completion, and model categories*. University of Chicago Press, 2011.
- [19] JP May. *The geometry of iterated loop spaces*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1972.
- [20] Daniel G Quillen. *Homotopical algebra*, volume 43. Springer, 2006.
- [21] Christopher Leonard Reedy. *Homology of algebraic theories*. 1974.
- [22] Stefan Schwede. Stable homotopy of algebraic theories. *Topology*, 40(1):1–41, 2001.
- [23] Graeme Segal. Categories and cohomology theories. *Topology*, 13(3):293–312, 1974.
- [24] Dennis Parnell Sullivan and Andrew Ranicki. *Geometric Topology: Localization, Periodicity and Galois Symmetry: the 1970 MIT Notes*, volume 8. Springer, 2005.